



Outlook

1563 Montenegro Drive

From Ryan Knorr [REDACTED]
Date Tue 2026-07-07 1:16 PM
To City Clerk <CClerk@kelowna.ca>

CAUTION: Sender is not from the City of Kelowna. Avoid clicking links or opening attachments. Never provide personal information or passwords.

Hello,

My company owns the property at [REDACTED] Montenegro Drive - [REDACTED] from 1563.

I am writing to show my support for this proposal. I have worked with the applicant on Kirschner Mountain for 8+ years and have no doubt that he will complete this project to a high standard with neighbours and residents in mind as he has done in the past phases.

Thank you,

Ryan Knorr

Knorr Enterprises Ltd.
[REDACTED]

Written comment for July 14 public hearing

From Kayla MacGillivray [REDACTED]
Date Sun 2026-07-12 9:45 PM
To City Clerk <CClerk@kelowna.ca>

 **CAUTION:** Sender is not from the City of Kelowna. Avoid clicking links or opening attachments. Never provide personal information or passwords.

I am going to start off by saying that holding a public hearing for such a major potential development at 4 pm on a Tuesday in summer is not appropriate and is undemocratic. There should be another public hearing held at an appropriate time for members of Kelowna to have a chance to fully be involved and have their voices heard.

The sprawling being proposed by council to move forward and essentially abolish the permanent growth boundary is a major issue and will have long term ramifications if it moves forward.

Sprawling does not help the residents of Kelowna. Extending into these areas is not only a problem environmentally, but financially as well. Time and time again, sprawling has been found to increase issues with traffic, cost tax payers tons of money in infrastructure, and damage wildlife and farming areas.

A councilor mentioned that residents in Kelowna want these areas, and not everyone wants density. This is the case with Kelowna existing as it is currently. Density has increased, but safe liveable areas have not. I don't know a family anywhere that wants to rent an apartment next to a highway, and yet that's what most working class families have been left with as an option. What if the money being spent to do these studies and pay city staff to try to expand was reallocated to improve our city within it's current boundary? I would love to see a city council allocate funds to improve the city within the boundary currently. To help decrease traffic, improve transit, increase green space, and improve wellness and safety. Developments like the ones proposed are out of reach for most working class people of Kelowna. I want to have a city council fighting for better lives for all residents of Kelowna, not just for those with the biggest paycheques. The council needs to have the wisdom to see that sprawling will only harm Kelowna in the long-term and only benefit a small group of people.

In terms of the environmental issues with this, the little habitat we have left is already being encroached on severely. How much more can our wildlife and ecosystems be squeezed? Many of the forests surrounding Kelowna have either been burned or are being developed. At some point we need a city council who is going to step up for the environment. The valley doesn't just belong to humans and the arrogance to plan as if it does is seen in this proposed plan.

I am in complete opposition :

To give Bylaw No. 12924 second and third reading in order to change the Future Land Use designation of portions of the subject property from the NAT – Natural Areas designation to the S-RES – Suburban Residential designation.

To give Bylaw No. 12925 second and third reading to rezone portions of the subject property from the MF2 – Townhouse Housing zone to the RU1 – Large Lot Housing zone.

To advance further planning for the North Glenmore Sector and to amend the Official Community Plan to add an implementation action for additional planning in the Sector.

We cannot keep bulldozing forests to build gigantic, unsustainable homes where people live half the year, if that. We need well thought out neighbourhoods being sustainably developed within the current PERMANENT growth boundary. This boundary was created for a reason. The city council needs to uphold it and invest money in improving current Kelowna neighborhoods.

I urge council to see reason and to not just fight for a select wealthy few, but to help build a better community for all residents of Kelowna.

Sincerely,

Kayla MacGillivray
Kelowna resident

Public Hearing Tues July 14

From Dolores G [REDACTED]
Date Mon 2026-07-13 8:58 AM
To City Clerk <CClerk@kelowna.ca>

 **CAUTION:** Sender is not from the City of Kelowna. Avoid clicking links or opening attachments. Never provide personal information or passwords.

Hello,

I am responding to the Public Hearing for 1563 Montenegro Drive to be held on Tuesday July 14 at 4:00 pm.

Bylaw No.12924 (OCP26-0002) and Bylaw No.12925 (Z25-0018)

Requested OCP change of portions of : From the Nat - Natural Areas designation to the S-RES - Suburban Residential designation - **I AM OPPOSED TO THE REQUEST** - my property butts right up to that area designation. Legal Description ; PL KAP24728, LT61, SEC 18, TWP27,

Requested zoning change of portion of : From the MF2 - Townhouse Housing zone to the RU2 - Large Lot Housing zone. - **I AM OPPOSED TO THE REQUEST** - my property butts right up to that area designation. Legal Description ; PL KAP24728, LT61, SEC 18, TWP27,

Unfortunately I cannot attend the meeting in person or online.

Please let me know if you need more information from me.

Thank You,

Dolores Gooliaff

[REDACTED] Verdure Rd

Kelowna BC V1P 1G6