

OCP Feedback Form (#6) Summary

March 23, 2011

This tabulation reflects responses received from feedback forms distributed at OCP Open Houses held on February 17, 19, 21, and 23, 2011 as well as responses received on-line between February 17 and March 18, 2011. In total, 628 people completed the feedback form.

Q1. Steep Slopes Policy

<u>Total</u>	<u># Responses</u>	<u>% of Responses</u>
Strongly Agree	326	54%
Somewhat Agree	168	28%
No Opinion	29	5%
Somewhat Disagree	54	9%
Strongly Disagree	32	5%
Total Responses	609	100%

Q2. Permanent Growth Boundary

<u>Total</u>	<u># Responses</u>	<u>% of Responses</u>
Strongly Agree	258	44%
Somewhat Agree	191	33%
No Opinion	38	6%
Somewhat Disagree	56	10%
Strongly Disagree	42	7%
Total Responses	585	100%

Q3. Directing roughly half of new urban growth to the Urban Core

<u>Total</u>	<u># Responses</u>	<u>% of Responses</u>
Strongly Agree	307	53%
Somewhat Agree	181	31%
No Opinion	17	3%
Somewhat Disagree	45	8%
Strongly Disagree	30	5%
Total Responses	580	100%

Q4. Building Height – Downtown

<u>Total</u>	<u># Responses</u>	<u>% of Responses</u>
Strongly Agree	181	32%
Somewhat Agree	191	34%
No Opinion	21	4%
Somewhat Disagree	75	13%
Strongly Disagree	102	18%
Total Responses	570	100%

Q5. Building Height - South Pandosy

<u>Total</u>	<u># Responses</u>	<u>% of Responses</u>
Strongly Agree	168	29%
Somewhat Agree	199	35%
No Opinion	40	7%
Somewhat Disagree	81	14%
Strongly Disagree	83	15%
Total Responses	571	100%

Q6. Building Height - Rutland

<u>Total</u>	<u># Responses</u>	<u>% of Responses</u>
Strongly Agree	198	35%
Somewhat Agree	189	33%
No Opinion	53	9%
Somewhat Disagree	72	13%
Strongly Disagree	53	9%
Total Responses	565	100%

Q7. Building Height - Midtown (Orchard Park)

<u>Total</u>	<u># Responses</u>	<u>% of Responses</u>
Strongly Agree	215	38%
Somewhat Agree	211	38%
No Opinion	42	7%
Somewhat Disagree	51	9%
Strongly Disagree	43	8%
Total Responses	562	100%

Q8. Building Height - Capri/Landmark

<u>Total</u>	<u># Responses</u>	<u>% of Responses</u>
Strongly Agree	190	34%
Somewhat Agree	226	40%
No Opinion	44	8%
Somewhat Disagree	55	10%
Strongly Disagree	48	9%
Total Responses	563	100%

Q9. Building Height - Elsewhere

<u>Total</u>	<u># Responses</u>	<u>% of Responses</u>
Strongly Agree	161	30%
Somewhat Agree	202	37%
No Opinion	75	14%
Somewhat Disagree	56	10%
Strongly Disagree	45	8%
Total Responses	539	100%

Q10. Recognize and accept that a greater level of congestion may result from an increased

focus on active transportation modes

<u>Total</u>	<u># Responses</u>	<u>% of Responses</u>
Strongly Agree	196	39%
Somewhat Agree	175	34%
No Opinion	41	8%
Somewhat Disagree	44	9%
Strongly Disagree	52	10%
Total Responses	508	100%

Q11. Except where there are safety issues, refrain from implementing major roadway modifications intended to increase capacity and/or efficiency for automobiles on non-commercial routes

<u>Total</u>	<u># Responses</u>	<u>% of Responses</u>
Strongly Agree	195	38%
Somewhat Agree	146	29%
No Opinion	36	7%
Somewhat Disagree	70	14%
Strongly Disagree	64	13%
Total Responses	511	100%

Q12. Transportation infrastructure will be funded, designed, constructed and maintained to meet the needs of identified on Panel 20 users and according to funding priority

<u>Total</u>	<u># Responses</u>	<u>% of Responses</u>
Strongly Agree	246	47%
Somewhat Agree	156	30%
No Opinion	37	7%
Somewhat Disagree	46	9%
Strongly Disagree	40	8%
Total Responses	525	100%

Q13. Transit Priority Policy

<u>Total</u>	<u># Responses</u>	<u>% of Responses</u>
Strongly Agree	298	57%
Somewhat Agree	140	27%
No Opinion	30	6%
Somewhat Disagree	32	6%
Strongly Disagree	27	5%
Total Responses	527	100%

Q14. Transit Service Policy

<u>Total</u>	<u># Responses</u>	<u>% of Responses</u>
Strongly Agree	324	62%
Somewhat Agree	124	24%
No Opinion	38	7%
Somewhat Disagree	20	4%
Strongly Disagree	15	3%
Total Responses	521	100%

Q15. Additional Growth Areas for Single Family Housing in North Glenmore

<u>Total</u>	<u># Responses</u>	<u>% of Responses</u>
Strongly Agree	104	30%
Somewhat Agree	121	35%
No Opinion	39	11%
Somewhat Disagree	45	13%
Strongly Disagree	39	11%
Total Responses	348	100%

Q16. Glenmore Recreation Park

<u>Total</u>	<u># Responses</u>	<u>% of Responses</u>
Strongly Agree	199	57%
Somewhat Agree	99	28%
No Opinion	30	9%
Somewhat Disagree	7	2%
Strongly Disagree	17	5%
Total Responses	352	100%

Q17. Requiring Special Zoning for Residential Land Located on 20-30% Slopes

<u>Total</u>	<u># Responses</u>	<u>% of Responses</u>
Strongly Agree	185	53%
Somewhat Agree	90	26%
No Opinion	36	10%
Somewhat Disagree	26	7%
Strongly Disagree	15	4%
Total Responses	352	100%

Q18. Capri/Landmark designated as an Urban Centre (in addition to Downtown, Rutland, South Pandosy and Midtown)

<u>Total</u>	<u># Responses</u>	<u>% of Responses</u>
Strongly Agree	202	57%
Somewhat Agree	108	30%
No Opinion	23	6%
Somewhat Disagree	12	3%
Strongly Disagree	11	3%
Total Responses	356	100%

Q19. Health District designation for area between Pandosy and Richter Street (across from

Hospital)

<u>Total</u>	<u># Responses</u>	<u>% of Responses</u>
Strongly Agree	230	64%
Somewhat Agree	94	26%
No Opinion	14	4%
Somewhat Disagree	11	3%
Strongly Disagree	9	3%
Total Responses	358	100%

Q20. Mixed Use Tourism and Multiple Residential Medium Density and Multiple Residential Low Density designations for Hiawatha Mobile Home Park site on Lakeshore Road and north of Cook Road

<u>Total</u>	<u># Responses</u>	<u>% of Responses</u>
Strongly Agree	109	31%
Somewhat Agree	131	37%
No Opinion	55	16%
Somewhat Disagree	31	9%
Strongly Disagree	28	8%
Total Responses	354	100%

Q21. Industrial Limited designation for Arab/Appaloosa/Pinto Road Area

<u>Total</u>	<u># Responses</u>	<u>% of Responses</u>
Strongly Agree	141	40%
Somewhat Agree	124	35%
No Opinion	75	21%
Somewhat Disagree	11	3%
Strongly Disagree	2	1%
Total Responses	353	100%

Q22. Expanding protection for terrestrial ecosystems

<u>Total</u>	<u># Responses</u>	<u>% of Responses</u>
Strongly Agree	240	67%
Somewhat Agree	78	22%
No Opinion	16	4%
Somewhat Disagree	17	5%
Strongly Disagree	6	2%
Total Responses	357	100%

Q23. Adding biodiversity as a consideration in review of development applications

<u>Total</u>	<u># Responses</u>	<u>% of Responses</u>
Strongly Agree	220	62%
Somewhat Agree	81	23%
No Opinion	24	7%
Somewhat Disagree	16	5%
Strongly Disagree	13	4%
Total Responses	354	100%

Q24. Adding design guidelines for Character Neighbourhoods

<u>Total</u>	<u># Responses</u>	<u>% of Responses</u>
Strongly Agree	190	54%
Somewhat Agree	107	31%
No Opinion	21	6%
Somewhat Disagree	19	5%
Strongly Disagree	13	4%
Total Responses	350	100%

Q25. Adding water conservation / landscape irrigation guidelines

<u>Total</u>	<u># Responses</u>	<u>% of Responses</u>
Strongly Agree	236	67%
Somewhat Agree	85	24%
No Opinion	14	4%
Somewhat Disagree	7	2%
Strongly Disagree	8	2%
Total Responses	350	100%

Q26. Adding hillside guidelines (visual)

<u>Total</u>	<u># Responses</u>	<u>% of Responses</u>
Strongly Agree	218	63%
Somewhat Agree	101	29%
No Opinion	17	5%
Somewhat Disagree	5	1%
Strongly Disagree	4	1%
Total Responses	345	100%

Q27. Adding guidelines for farm protection

<u>Total</u>	<u># Responses</u>	<u>% of Responses</u>
Strongly Agree	223	63%
Somewhat Agree	75	21%
No Opinion	22	6%
Somewhat Disagree	16	5%
Strongly Disagree	18	5%
Total Responses	354	100%

Q28. Parkland Acquisitions

<u>Total</u>	<u># Responses</u>	<u>% of Responses</u>
Strongly Agree	259	54%
Somewhat Agree	152	32%
No Opinion	37	8%
Somewhat Disagree	19	4%
Strongly Disagree	12	3%
Total Responses	479	100%

Q29. Water priorities

<u>Total</u>	<u># Responses</u>	<u>% of Responses</u>
Strongly Agree	223	47%
Somewhat Agree	158	33%
No Opinion	69	15%
Somewhat Disagree	14	3%
Strongly Disagree	9	2%
Total Responses	473	100%

Q30. Sewer Priorities

<u>Total</u>	<u># Responses</u>	<u>% of Responses</u>
Strongly Agree	204	43%
Somewhat Agree	170	36%
No Opinion	78	17%
Somewhat Disagree	13	3%
Strongly Disagree	5	1%
Total Responses	470	100%

Q31. Transportation Networks

<u>Total</u>	<u># Responses</u>	<u>% of Responses</u>
Strongly Agree	193	42%
Somewhat Agree	191	41%
No Opinion	43	9%
Somewhat Disagree	23	5%
Strongly Disagree	15	3%
Total Responses	465	100%

Q32. How did you hear about this public involvement opportunity?

<u>Total</u>	<u># Responses</u>	<u>% of Responses</u>
Word of Mouth	119	16%
Email	231	31%
City of Kel. Webpage	76	10%
YouTube	15	2%
Facebook	1	0%
Twitter	5	1%
Castanet	74	10%
Other online media	3	0%
Capital News	80	11%
Daily Courier	64	9%
CHBC	20	3%
Shaw	3	0%
Radio	21	3%
Electronic Msg Board	33	4%
total	745	100%

Q33. Age

<u>Total</u>	<u># Responses</u>	<u>% of Responses</u>
18-29	58	12%
30-39	82	16%
40-49	96	19%
50-59	120	24%
60-69	90	18%
70+	55	11%
Total	501	100%

Q34. Postal code of Kelowna Respondents

(data on this response only is based on submissions received by March 15)

<u>Total</u>	<u># Responses</u>	<u>% of Responses</u>
V1W (Mission/SE Kelowna)	124	31%
V1X (Rutland/Black Mountain)	58	14%
V1Y (Downtown/South Padosy)	136	34%
V1V (Glenmore/Dilworth)	87	21%
Response Count	405	100%